



**STATE AND LOCAL  
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES**

**RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 2002**

**GENERAL ELECTION**



**STATE AND LOCAL  
BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES  
RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 2002  
GENERAL ELECTION**



**CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 400  
P.O. BOX 942809  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94209-0001  
TELEPHONE: (916) 653-3269  
FAX: (916) 654-7440

Lisa M. Harris  
Executive Director

**MEMBERS**

**Phil Angelides**  
State Treasurer and Chair

**Gray Davis**  
Governor

**Steve Westly**  
State Controller

State Senator

**Charles Poochigian**  
State Senator

**Vacant**  
State Assembly Member

**S. Joseph Simitian**  
State Assembly Member

**Susan Leal**  
Treasurer  
City and County of San Francisco

**Donna Linton**  
Assistant County Administrator

March 1, 2003

To all interested parties:

Voting gives California's citizens the opportunity to contribute to the State's public decision-making process. Through this fundamental process, key decisions are made that ultimately dictate how we will invest our precious public resources to help sustain California's economy and social well-being throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Therefore, I am pleased to present this report, which summarizes the results of bond and tax measures submitted to voters at the November 2002 General Election. This is the fifteenth in a series of reports on statewide elections in California prepared by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC).

As evidenced by this report, Californians' willingness to support prudent public expenditures continues. For example, of the 269 bond and tax-related measures tracked by the Commission, 166 (62 percent) passed and 103 (38 percent) failed. All three the state general obligation (GO) bond proposals and 91 of the 117 local GO bond proposals (78 percent) were approved. Support for special tax measures, designed to fund public services such as fire, police, and emergency medical services, was mixed, with 32 of 86 passing (37 percent).

Some of the key election results include:

With the assistance of Proposition 39 (which authorizes bonds for repair, construction, or replacement of school facilities and classrooms if approved by a 55 percent vote of the electorate, rather than a two-thirds vote), 87 of the proposed 111 education-related measures were approved.

The three approved state GO bonds totaled \$18.6 billion in authorization.

Of the 26 local GO bond proposals that were defeated, 17 received more than 50 percent of the vote.

This report includes a summary of the statewide election results, in addition to data on the individual tax and bond ballot measures. I would like to recognize the assistance of the elections departments of the 58 county clerks' offices in providing information vital to the preparation of this report.

Sincerely,

Phil Angelides  
State Treasurer

## **CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) was created by the Legislature to assist state and local agencies with the issuance, monitoring and management of public debt, and with the investment of public funds, through its research and technical assistance programs. CDIAC also acts as the State's clearinghouse for California's public debt issuance information.

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission members include:

**Phil Angelides**

California State Treasurer  
and Chair

**Gray Davis**

Governor

or

**Steve Peace**

Director  
Department of Finance

**Steve Westly**

State Controller

**Michael Machado**

State Senator

**Charles Poochigian**

State Senator

**Vacant**

State Assemblymember

**S. Joseph Simitian**

State Assemblymember

**Susan Leal**

Treasurer  
City & County of San Francisco

**Donna Linton**

Assistant County Administrator  
Alameda County

**Lisa M. Harris**  
Executive Director

### **CALIFORNIA DEBT AND INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

P.O. Box 942809

Sacramento, CA 94209-0001

Phone: (916) 653-3269

Fax: (916) 654-7440

Email: [cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov](mailto:cdiac@treasurer.ca.gov)

Website: [www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac](http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac)

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission complies with the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need additional information or assistance, please contact the Commission at (916) 653-3269

## CONTENTS

I.	Introduction .....	1
II.	General Election Results .....	1
III.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Purpose .....	2
	A. Education .....	4
	B. Capital Improvements.....	5
	C. Public Health and Safety .....	5
	D. General Government .....	6
	E. Miscellaneous Projects .....	6
IV.	Summary of State and Local Measures by Type .....	7
	A. Bonds .....	9
	1. State General Obligation Bonds .....	9
	2. Local General Obligation Bonds .....	10
	B. Tax Measures .....	11
	1. Local Tax Measures .....	11
V.	Counties Reporting No Local Bond or Tax Measures .....	12

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Bond and Tax Measure Results .....	2
Figure 2	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose .....	3
Figure 3	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose .....	3
Figure 4	Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose .....	4
Figure 5	Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type .....	7
Figure 6	All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Type .....	8
Figure 7	Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Type .....	8

## **SUMMARY TABLES**

### **Appendix A**

Figure A-1	Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures .....	13
Figure A-2	Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures .....	14

# STATE AND LOCAL BOND AND TAX BALLOT MEASURES

## Results of the November 2002 General Election

### I. INTRODUCTION

The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission (CDIAC) has reported on statewide elections since 1986 and publishes complete statistics on bond and tax measures after each election. This report presents the results of state and local bond and tax measures that appeared on ballots in the November 5, 2002 California General Election (“2002 General Election”). The data used to develop the report was received from the California Secretary of State’s office and the 58 county clerks’ elections departments. This is the fifteenth report CDIAC has published summarizing bond and tax elections.

### II. GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS

CDIAC tracked a total of 269 state and local bond and tax measures in the 2002 General Election. Similar to the primary election on March 5, 2002, which

included two state bond measures and no tax-related measures, the 2002 General Election’s statewide ballot included three bond measures and no tax-related measures. However, the total number of state and local bond and tax measures in this election (269 measures) was significantly higher than the 2002 Primary Election (123 measures). This increase can be attributed largely to Proposition 62, which forced municipalities to put numerous tax measures before the voters, as discussed to the right, and Proposition 39, which lowered the voter approval threshold for certain school bonds. The results of the 2002 General Election are summarized in Figure 1.

#### Background on Proposition 62

On June 4, 2001, the California Supreme Court ruled, in Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association v. City of La Habra, that taxes adopted in violation of Proposition 62’s voter approval requirements are subject to legal challenge each time the tax is collected. Proposition 62 is a statutory initiative which required majority voter approval of local general taxes and restated the two-thirds voter approval requirement for local special taxes. La Habra had relied on appellate rulings that Proposition 62’s voter approval requirements were unconstitutional and put in place a utility users tax without voter approval. However, in 1995, the California Supreme Court found the voter approval requirements constitutional. The Howard Jarvis Foundation sued the City of La Habra over their utility users tax, demanding that the city cease collecting the tax until it had been approved by a majority of the voters. To remove any legal doubt of the legality of these taxes, local municipalities have put numerous tax measures, such as utility users and transient occupancy taxes, on the ballot this year.

**Figure 1  
Bond and Tax Measure Results  
2002 General Election**

	State	Local	Total
<b>Number of Measures Passed:</b>			
<b>Bonds</b>	3	92	95
<b>Taxes</b>	0	71	71
<b>Subtotal</b>	3	163	166
<b>Number of Measures Failed:</b>			
<b>Bonds</b>	0	26	26
<b>Taxes</b>	0	77	77
<b>Subtotal</b>	0	103	103
<b>Total</b>	3	266	269
<b>Percentage Passing</b>	100%	61%	62%

The overall approval rate of 62 percent in the 2002 General Election is lower than the 74 percent approval rate of the 2002 Primary Election. Overall, 91 of the 117 local GO bond measures were successful, including 85 of the 104 proposed education-related measures. If Proposition 39 had not been in effect (and therefore all education bonds required a two-thirds approval vote), only 44 education-related bonds would have been approved. Under the current supermajority vote requirement for special taxes, only 32 of the 86 proposed measures passed (see page 11 for a description of “general” and “special” taxes). If the voter requirement had been a simple majority, 74 of the 86 special tax measures would have passed.


### **III. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY PURPOSE**

In Figure 2, the results of the 269 bond and tax measures are classified based on the types of projects they will finance, such as education, capital improvements, public health and safety, general government, and miscellaneous projects. Figures 3 and 4 display the percentage share by purpose for all ballot measures and those approved.


**Figure 2  
Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose  
2002 General Election**

	Education	Capital Improvements	Public Health & Safety	General Government	Miscellaneous	Total
<b>Number of State Measures:</b>						
Passed	1	0	0	0	2	3
Failed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1	0	0	0	2	3
<b>Number of Local Measures:</b>						
Passed	86	7	28	39	3	163
Failed	24	12	29	23	15	103
Subtotal	110	19	57	62	18	266
<b>Number of State and Local Measures:</b>						
Passed	87	7	28	39	5	166
Failed	24	12	29	23	15	103
Total	111	19	57	62	20	269

**Figure 3  
All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose  
2002 General Election**



**Figure 4**  
**Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Purpose**  
**2002 General Election**



### **A. Education**

There were 111 education measures presented to voters in the 2002 General Election, accounting for about 41 percent of all measures offered. Overall, 87 of the 111 education measures were approved, yielding an approval rate of 78 percent. This is somewhat lower than the 2002 Primary Election education-related approval rate of 84 percent.

Ninety-four of the 111 education measures (85 percent) were for K-12 education, 16 were for community college facilities, and one, State Proposition 47, was for both K-12 education and college/university facilities. Seventy-one of the 94 K-12 education measures (76 percent) were approved. The passing rate for K-12 measures is somewhat lower than the 2002 Primary Election approval rate of 82 percent.

Seventy of the 71 successful K-12 education measures (99 percent) were GO bonds; the remaining measure was for a special tax to fund education programs in the Los Altos School District (Santa Clara County). K-12 GO bond amounts ranged from \$340,000 for Linns Valley-Poso Flat Union School District in Kern and Tulare Counties (which passed, receiving 72 percent of the vote) to \$3.35 billion for the Los Angeles Unified School District in Los Angeles County (which passed, receiving 68 percent approval).

Voters approved 15 of the 16 GO bond measures for community college facilities (94 percent). Community college GO bonds ranged from \$12 million for the Merced Community College District in Fresno and Merced Counties (which passed, receiving 68 percent of the vote) to \$685 million for the San Diego Community College District in San Diego County (which passed, receiving 69 percent of the vote). The Solano Community College District (Solano and Yolo Counties) passed a \$125 million measure with a 56 percent voter approval. The lone failing measure was a \$195 million bond measure for the Rio Hondo Community College District in Los Angeles County. The measure fell short by about two percentage points.

## **B. Capital Improvements**

Seven of the 19 measures (37 percent) for capital improvements passed. In the 2002 Primary Election, no capital improvement measures were on the ballot. The largest measures in the 2002 General Election included a \$1.628 billion revenue bond in San Francisco County for water system improvements and a \$198.25 million GO bond in the City of Oakland (Alameda County) for clean water and safe parks. One large tax measure that was approved was a thirty-year extension of a one-half percent sales tax in Riverside County for highway, street, and mass transit improvements.

Among the defeated measures, voters in Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco Counties rejected a \$1.05 billion GO bond for public transportation seismic safety improvements. This measure received just over 61 percent of the vote. A \$129 million GO bond in San Francisco County for veterans building seismic safety also failed to garner the required two-thirds voter approval, receiving only 55 percent of the vote. Elsewhere, voters in Los Angeles County rejected a \$250 million GO bond for earthquake and fire safety at county museums. The measure received just over 60 percent of the vote.

## **C. Public Health and Safety**

Of the 57 measures to provide or enhance police, fire, or emergency medical services, 28 were approved. This 49 percent approval rate for such measures is somewhat lower than the 56 percent approval for similar measures in the 2002 Primary Election, when five out of the nine measures passed. Voters approved 13 of the 14 emergency medical services measures (93 percent), 14 of the 39 fire protection measures (36 percent), and one of four public safety measures (25 percent). The largest measures included a \$51 million GO bond in the City of Fremont (Alameda County) to replace and upgrade a number of fire stations and to build a public safety training center and a \$2 million GO bond in the City of Arroyo Grande (San Luis Obispo County) to upgrade and expand the city fire station. One large tax measure that was approved was a three-cent per square foot parcel tax

on structural improvements Los Angeles County to improve county trauma centers and to respond effectively to terrorism.

The defeated measures included an emergency medical services measure to reaffirm a parcel tax in the Spalding Community Services District in Lassen County. This measure only received 51 percent of the vote. Elsewhere, voters in the Pajaro Valley Fire Protection District (Santa Cruz County) rejected a \$1 million GO bond measure to build a new fire station. This measure received 63 percent of the vote. Finally, Imperial County residents rejected a ¼ percent sales tax increase to fund public safety improvements. This measure only received 37 percent of the vote.

#### **D. General Government**

Voters approved 39 of the 62 measures for general government purposes. These proposals affected parking, transit occupancy, utility users, business license, property transfer, or sales taxes. The 63 percent passing rate was slightly higher than the 2002 Primary Election approval rate of 61 percent. Some examples of general government measures that passed include:

- A 1/8 percent sales tax increase in the City of Sebastopol (Sonoma County);
- An increase in the transit occupancy tax in the City of Garden Grove (Orange County) to 13 percent; and
- A 1.15 percent increase in the property transfer tax in the City of Albany (Alameda County).

#### **E. Miscellaneous Projects**

Included in the miscellaneous projects category are two state bonds (discussed in the next section) and 18 local measures for flood control, housing, mosquito abatement, parks and recreation, and tourism. Two measures were for transportation and water supply/quality projects and are differentiated from those categorized as capital improvement measures because they are for services, not capital improvements. Voters approved only three of the 18 local government proposals (17 percent). The three successful measures were:

- A \$220 parcel tax in the Bel Aire Flood Control Zone (Marin County) for the operation, maintenance, and repair of a pump station;
- A \$36 parcel tax for four years in the Mesa Park District (Marin County) for maintenance and operation of a public park; and

- A \$24 parcel tax for five years in the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (Alameda and Contra Costa Counties) for transit programs.


#### IV. SUMMARY OF STATE AND LOCAL MEASURES BY TYPE

State and local measures are divided into four categories: revenue bonds, GO bonds, special tax, and general tax measures. Figure 5 summarizes the results of these measures, by type. Figures 6 and 7 display the percentage share by type for all ballot measures and those approved.


**Figure 5**  
**Results of Bond and Tax Measures, by Type**  
**2002 General Election**

	Revenue Bonds	GO Bonds	Special Tax	General Tax	Total
<b>Number of State Measures:</b>					
<b>Passed</b>	0	3	0	0	3
<b>Failed</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Number of Local Measures:</b>					
<b>Passed</b>	1	91	32	39	163
<b>Failed</b>	0	26	54	23	103
<b>Number of State and Local Measures:</b>					
<b>Passed</b>	1	94	32	39	166
<b>Failed</b>	0	26	54	23	103
<b>Total</b>	1	120	86	62	269

**Figure 6**  
**All Proposed Bond and Tax Measures, by Type**  
**2002 General Election**



**Figure 7**  
**Approved Bond and Tax Measures, by Type**  
**2002 General Election**



## A. Bonds

### 1. State General Obligation Bonds

All three of the State of California's GO bond measures were approved. Unlike non-education local GO bond measures, these bonds need only a simple majority vote for passage. Below is a summary of the three statewide GO bond measures.

**Proposition 46.** This measure authorizes the State to sell \$2.1 billion of GO bonds to provide shelter for battered women, clean and safe housing for low-income senior citizens, emergency shelters for homeless families with children, housing with social services for the homeless and mentally ill, repairs and accessibility improvements to apartments for families and handicapped citizens, homeownership assistance for military veterans, and security improvements and repairs to existing emergency shelters. Voters approved the measure by a 58 to 42 percent margin. The bond gained majority approval in 29 out of 58 counties in the State. Support came from the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, Los Angeles County, Imperial/San Diego County, and Riverside/San Bernardino County Regions. Opposition to the measure came primarily from the

This report uses the California Postsecondary Education Commission (CPEC) description of California counties by region for illustrative purposes:

**Central Coast Region** – Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz

**Imperial/San Diego County Region** – Imperial, San Diego

**Los Angeles County Region** – Los Angeles

**Northern California Region** – Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Mendocino, Modoc, Nevada, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Yuba

**Northern Central Valley Region** – Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tuolumne

**Orange County Region** – Orange

**Riverside/San Bernardino County Region** – Riverside, San Bernardino

**Sacramento Area Region** – El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, Yolo

**San Francisco Bay Area Region** – Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, Sonoma

**South Coast Region** – San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura

**Southern Central Valley Region** – Fresno, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Tulare

Northern California, Southern Central Valley, and Orange County Regions.

**Proposition 47.** This measure authorizes the State to sell \$13.05 billion in GO bonds for educational facilities to relieve student overcrowding and to repair older schools. Funds will be targeted to areas of the greatest need and must be spent according to accountability measures. Funds also will be used to upgrade and build new classrooms in the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California to accommodate growing student enrollment. California voters approved the measure by a 59 to 41 percent margin. The bond gained majority approval in 33 out of the 58 counties in the State. Support came primarily from the San Francisco Bay Area, Central and South Coasts, Los Angeles County, Orange County, Imperial/San Diego County, and Riverside/San Bernardino County Regions. The measure was generally opposed in the Northern California Region.

**Proposition 50.** This measure authorizes the State to sell \$3.44 billion in GO bonds to fund a variety of specified water and wetlands projects for water quality, water supply reliability, safe drinking water projects, and coastal land acquisition and protection. California voters approved the measure by a 55 to 45 percent margin. The bond gained majority approval in 21 out of the 58 counties in the State. Voter support came from the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, Los Angeles County, Orange County, Imperial/San Diego County, and Riverside/San Bernardino County Regions. The measure was generally opposed in the Northern California, Sacramento Area, and Northern and Southern Central Valley Regions.

## **2. Local General Obligation Bonds**

Local agency GO bonds generally fared well, as 91 of the 117 proposals were approved. This 78 percent passing rate for local GO bonds is somewhat lower than the 2002 Primary Election rate of 86 percent. The 91 approved local GO bond measures totaled \$9.7 billion. Eighty-eight of the 117 local GO bonds (75 percent) on the ballot were earmarked for K-12 educational facility improvements. Community college districts placed 16 proposals on the ballot (14 percent), while the remaining 13 measures (11 percent) were designated for fire protection, housing, public safety, seismic safety, and multiple capital improvement measures.

Voters approved 70 of the 88 local GO bond measures for K-12 school facilities (80 percent). However, only 41 would have passed had Proposition 39 not been in force. With respect to community college facilities, voters approved all but one of the 16 measures on the ballot. However, only three would have passed without the help of Proposition

39. Of the 13 non-education bond measures, six proposals (totaling \$281 million) passed. Overall, 26 GO bond measures, totaling \$2.511 billion, failed to muster the necessary votes for approval. Interestingly, in 17 instances, over 50 percent of the voters did approve the measures. Two measures that came close to passage were the Grossmont Union High School District and the Rincon Valley Union School District, which garnered approval rates of 63.8 and 64.6 percent, respectively. Had these districts chosen to require a 55 percent majority, both would have passed under the provisions of Proposition 39. In addition, the one failing community college district measure (Rio Hondo Community College District) also received majority approval of over 50 percent.

## B. Tax Measures

### 1. Local Tax Measures

One hundred and forty-eight of the 266 local bond and tax measures (56 percent) on the ballot were local tax measures. This number is dramatically higher than the 40 local tax measures (33 percent) that appeared in the 2002 Primary Election. Seventy-one of the 148 local tax measures in the 2002 General Election passed, a 48 percent approval rate, which is equal to the 2002 Primary Election approval rate, when 19 of the 40 measures passed.

Support for local special tax measures was limited, with 32 of the 86 gaining approval. The 37 percent approval rate was slightly higher than the 2002 Primary Election approval rate of 33 percent (when 8 of the 24 passed).

#### Special and General Taxes

When considering options for addressing budgetary problems and policy issues using taxes, local decision-makers must work within the context of certain voter approval requirements. Specifically, two types of taxes are available for consideration: general taxes and local taxes, each with different voter approval requirements.

*Special taxes* refer to taxes whose proceeds are designated for a specific project or purpose. Pursuant to Proposition 13 in 1978, special tax measures must receive two-thirds of the vote in order to be approved. *General taxes* refer to taxes whose proceeds are for general government purposes (not a specific project or purpose). They include business, hotel, and utility taxes. General taxes only require a majority vote of the electorate for approval.

Voters approved 29 of the 62 general tax measures, a significantly lower approval rate (47 percent) than in the 2002 Primary Election when 11 of 16 (69 percent) passed.

With respect to the specific types of tax measures, 30 of the 72 parcel taxes (42 percent) were approved. The percent of voter approval for these special taxes varied widely. For example, a parcel tax measure for emergency medical services was approved by 92 percent of the voters in the Sleepy Hollow Fire District (Marin County), but a measure to fund a new veterans memorial building in the Jenny Lind Veteran's Memorial District (Calaveras County) only received 23 percent of the vote.

Of the 27 utility users tax (UUT) measures on the ballot, 16 were increases or continuations of the current tax rate while 11 were reductions or eliminations of the tax. Eleven increases or continuations (69 percent) and one reduction (9 percent) passed. The increase or continuation of the UUT on those measures that were approved ranged from two to ten percentage points. The one measure to decrease or eliminate the tax that passed was in the City of Greenfield (Monterey County). Voters in Greenfield, on a 67 percent vote, elected to reduce their UUT to three percent.

Elsewhere, 20 of the 32 hotel-motel occupancy tax measures (63 percent) succeeded, four out of nine (44 percent) sales tax measures were approved, all three business license tax measures (100 percent) passed, two out of three property tax measures (67 percent) passed, and the one parking tax measure failed. One measure not included in this list would have adopted a transient occupancy tax **and** a UUT for the proposed City of Castro Valley (Alameda County); however, it failed as the community of Castro Valley was not approved by voters for cityhood. This measure failed, as the community of Castro Valley failed to win cityhood.

## **V. COUNTIES REPORTING NO LOCAL BOND OR TAX MEASURES**

Thirteen of the State's 58 counties reported no local bond or tax measures. They are: Alpine, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, Lake, Mariposa, Modoc, San Benito, Shasta, Sierra, Tehama, and Trinity.

**Figure A-1**  
**Summary of State Bond and Tax Measures**  
**November 5, 2002**

Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	Threshold	% Yes	% No	Result
GO Bond	\$2,100,000,000	Housing	46	simple majority	57.6	42.4	Pass
GO Bond	\$13,050,000,000	K-12 and College/University Facilities	47	simple majority	59.1	40.9	Pass
GO Bond	\$3,440,000,000	Water Supply/Quality	50	simple majority	55.4	44.6	Pass

**Figure A-2  
Summary of Local Bond and Tax Measures  
2002 General Election**

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Alameda	Alameda County	General Tax	Business License Tax: Continue existing tax	General Government	B	65.3	34.7	Pass
Alameda	Alameda County	General Tax	TOT: Impose 10 percent tax	General Government	A	54.0	46.0	Pass
Alameda	City of Albany	General Tax	Property Tax: Increase to 1.15 percent	General Government	H	58.2	41.8	Pass
Alameda	City of Albany	GO Bond	\$14,500,000	Multiple Capital Improvements	F	69.4	30.6	Pass
Alameda	City of Albany	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$57.53	Emergency Medical Services	G	67.1	32.9	Pass
Alameda	City of Berkeley	GO Bond	\$21,500,000	Seismic Safety	J	39.7	60.3	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Special Tax	Property Tax: 0.5 percent on all transfers of real property	Housing	M	50.8	49.2	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: 1.3 cents per square foot	Public Safety	L	53.9	46.1	Fail
Alameda	City of Berkeley	GO Bond	\$7,200,000	Public Facilities	I	68.3	31.7	Pass
Alameda	City of Fremont	GO Bond	\$51,000,000	Fire Protection	R	74.4	25.6	Pass
Alameda	City of Oakland	General Tax	Parking Tax: 10 percent surcharge for 5 years	General Government	GG	43.7	56.3	Fail
Alameda	City of Oakland	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 14 percent	General Government	II	43.4	56.6	Fail
Alameda	City of Oakland	General Tax	UUT: Increase to 8 percent	General Government	HH	33.1	66.9	Fail
Alameda	City of Oakland	GO Bond	\$198,250,000	Multiple Capital Improvements	DD	80.1	19.9	Pass
Alameda	Proposed City of Castro Valley	General Tax	UUT/TOT: Impose 5.5 percent/10 percent	General Government	Q	27.9	72.1	Fail
Alameda/Contra Costa	Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$24 for 5 years	Transportation	AA	68.2	31.8	Pass
Alameda/Contra Costa/San Francisco	San Francisco BART District	GO Bond	\$1,050,000,000	Seismic Safety	BB	61.6	38.4	Fail
Alameda/San Joaquin	Tracy Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$103,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	W	50.0	50.0	Fail
Amador	Amador County Unified School District	GO Bond	\$11,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	F	65.2	34.8	Pass
Amador	City of Jackson	General Tax	TOT: Impose 7 percent tax	General Government	E	51.0	49.0	Pass
Amador	Lockwood Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$35	Fire Protection	D	71.4	28.6	Pass
Butte	El Medio Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$60	Fire Protection	I	65.7	34.3	Fail
Butte	Oroville City Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$6,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	F	63.0	37.0	Pass
Butte	Oroville Union High School District	GO Bond	\$10,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	59.8	40.2	Pass
Calaveras	Copperopolis Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$75	Fire Protection	D	69.2	30.8	Pass
Calaveras	Jenny Lind Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$75	Fire Protection	A	42.5	57.5	Fail
Calaveras	Jenny Lind Veterans Memorial District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$15	Parks and Recreation	C	25.1	74.9	Fail
Calaveras	Jenny Lind Veteran's Memorial District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$50 for 5 years	Public Facilities	B	22.8	77.2	Fail
Contra Costa	Acalanes Union High School District	GO Bond	\$44,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	67.3	32.7	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Brentwood	General Tax	Business License Tax: \$100 per business	General Government	D	62.7	37.3	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Lafayette	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 9.5 percent	General Government	F	61.7	38.3	Pass
Contra Costa	City of Richmond	General Tax	UUT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	J	54.8	45.2	Pass
Contra Costa	Moraga-Orinda Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to 18 cents	Fire Protection	N	61.9	38.1	Fail
Contra Costa	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$260,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	58.7	41.3	Pass
Contra Costa	Walnut Creek School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	64.9	35.1	Pass
El Dorado	City of South Lake Tahoe	General Tax	TOT: Increase by \$1-1.50 per room for 5 years	General Government	Z	56.1	43.9	Pass
El Dorado	Diamond Springs El Dorado	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$60 per parcel	Fire Protection	F	47.7	52.3	Fail
El Dorado	El Dorado County	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	V	45.1	54.9	Fail
El Dorado	Garden Valley Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$80 per parcel	Fire Protection	E	60.8	39.2	Fail
El Dorado	Gold Trail Union School District	GO Bond	\$1,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	63.6	36.4	Pass
El Dorado	Placerville Union School District	GO Bond	\$5,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	66.8	33.2	Pass
El Dorado/Placer	Tahoe-Truckee Unified School District	GO Bond	\$30,450,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	57.6	42.4	Pass
Fresno	City of Fowler	General Tax	UUT: Extend for 4 years	General Government	I	52.3	47.7	Pass
Fresno	Fresno County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Extend 1/2 percent tax for 30 years	Transportation	C	53.9	46.1	Fail
Fresno/Kings/Madera/Tulare	State Center Community College District	GO Bond	\$161,000,000	College/University Facilities	E	56.5	43.5	Pass
Fresno/Merced	Dos Palos-Ora Loma Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$4,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	67.4	32.6	Pass
Fresno/Merced	Merced Community College District	GO Bond	\$11,930,000	College/University Facilities	J	67.7	32.3	Pass
Fresno/Tulare	Dinuba Unified School District	GO Bond	\$14,915,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	71.5	28.5	Pass
Humboldt	City of Eureka	General Tax	UUT: Continue 3 percent tax	General Government	X	51.2	48.8	Pass
Humboldt	City of Fortuna	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	W	67.2	32.8	Pass
Humboldt	Humboldt County	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	B	61.3	38.7	Pass
Humboldt	Humboldt County	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$10 for 10 years	Public Facilities	C	40.0	60.0	Fail
Humboldt/Mendocino	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$75 for 7 years	K-12 School Programs	A	55.9	44.1	Fail

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Imperial	Holtville Unified School District	GO Bond	\$8,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	77.0	23.0	Pass
Imperial	Imperial County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 1/4 percent tax for 10 years	Public Safety	D	36.9	63.1	Fail
Imperial	McCabe Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$2,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	F	60.5	39.5	Pass
Kern	Buttonwillow Union School District	GO Bond	\$6,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	78.2	21.8	Pass
Kern	Southern Kern Unified School District	GO Bond	\$12,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	H	62.8	37.2	Pass
Kern/San Bernardino/Tulare	Kern Community College District	GO Bond	\$180,000,000	College/University Facilities	G	59.1	40.9	Pass
Kern/Tulare	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Union School District	GO Bond	\$340,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	71.8	28.2	Pass
Kings	LeMoore Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$1,700,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	52.0	48.0	Fail
Lassen	Spalding Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Repeal and replace existing tax	Emergency Medical Services	C	50.9	49.1	Fail
Lassen	Spalding Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose special property tax	Water Supply/Quality	F	44.7	55.3	Fail
Lassen	Stones-Bengard Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Repeal and replace existing tax	Fire Protection	E	88.7	11.3	Pass
Lassen	Westwood Community Service District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$45	Fire Protection	B	66.1	33.9	Fail
Los Angeles	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	GO Bond	\$21,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Q	45.4	54.6	Fail
Los Angeles	Baldwin Park Unified School District	GO Bond	\$46,600,000	K-12 School Facilities	AA	79.5	20.5	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Gardena	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 11percent	General Government	L	52.8	47.2	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Pico Rivera	General Tax	UUT: Continue 5 percent tax	General Government	P	64.5	35.5	Pass
Los Angeles	City of San Marino	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Continue special property tax for 4 years	Public Safety	O	79.9	20.1	Pass
Los Angeles	City of Whittier	General Tax	UUT: Increase to 7.5 percent	General Government	W	32.8	67.2	Fail
Los Angeles	Compton Community College District	GO Bond	\$100,000,000	College/University Facilities	CC	76.3	23.7	Pass
Los Angeles	Compton Unified School District	GO Bond	\$80,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	83.1	16.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Downey Unified School District	GO Bond	\$65,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	67.1	32.9	Pass
Los Angeles	El Camino Community College District	GO Bond	\$394,516,464	College/University Facilities	E	61.6	38.4	Pass
Los Angeles	El Monte Union High School District	GO Bond	\$90,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Y	76.3	23.7	Pass
Los Angeles	Hermosa Beach City Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$13,600,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	65.2	34.8	Pass
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	GO Bond	\$250,000,000	Seismic Safety	A	60.5	39.5	Fail
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: 3 cents per square foot	Emergency Medical Services	B	73.2	26.8	Pass
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified School District	GO Bond	\$3,350,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	68.1	31.9	Pass
Los Angeles	Lynwood Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	76.7	23.3	Pass
Los Angeles	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	GO Bond	\$165,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	73.6	26.4	Pass
Los Angeles	Rio Hondo Community College District	GO Bond	\$194,600,000	College/University Facilities	R	52.7	47.3	Fail
Los Angeles	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$300 for 12 years	K-12 School Programs	EE	61.2	38.8	Fail
Los Angeles	South Pasadena Unified School District	GO Bond	\$29,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	78.0	22.0	Pass
Madera	Madera County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Extend 1/2 percent tax until 2025	Transportation	D	50.7	49.3	Fail
Madera	Madera Unified School District	GO Bond	\$46,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	63.1	36.9	Pass
Madera/Merced	Merced Community College District	GO Bond	\$53,500,000	College/University Facilities	H	55.7	44.3	Pass
Marin	Bel Aire Flood Control Zone	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$220	Flood Control	F	84.2	15.8	Pass
Marin	City of Larkspur	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	E	86.6	13.4	Pass
Marin	City of San Rafael	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase \$61 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	P	76.2	23.8	Pass
Marin	Kenfield Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	N	81.5	18.5	Pass
Marin	Lucas Valley County Service Area 13	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$61 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	L	85.2	14.8	Pass
Marin	Marinwood Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$61	Emergency Medical Services	J	78.0	22.0	Pass
Marin	Mesa Park District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$36 for 4 years	Parks and Recreation	S	72.6	27.4	Pass
Marin	San Rafael Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$49,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	68.5	31.5	Pass
Marin	San Rafael High School District	GO Bond	\$49,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	67.6	32.4	Pass
Marin	Santa Venetia-Bayside Acres Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$61 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	M	75.1	24.9	Pass
Marin	Sleepy Hollow Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Continue tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	Q	92.3	7.7	Pass
Marin	Town of Corte Madera	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	C	76.7	23.3	Pass
Marin	Town of Fairfax	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	D	73.0	27.0	Pass
Marin	Town of Ross	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	G	77.6	22.4	Pass
Marin	Town of San Anselmo	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30 for 4 years	Emergency Medical Services	H	73.4	26.6	Pass
Mendocino	Fort Bragg Rural Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$18.75 per unit of benefit	Fire Protection	S	72.4	27.6	Pass
Mendocino	Hopland Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$55 per unit of benefit	Fire Protection	X	81.6	18.4	Pass
Mendocino	Little Lake Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$30 per unit of benefit	Fire Protection	V	70.6	29.4	Pass
<b>Mendocino</b>	<b>Manchester Union Elementary School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$1,180,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>W</b>	<b>60.2</b>	<b>39.8</b>	<b>Fail</b>
Mendocino	Mendocino Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Adopt special fire and rescue tax	Fire Protection	T	77.2	22.8	Pass
Mendocino	Mendocino Unified School District	GO Bond	\$8,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	Z	41.1	58.9	Fail
Mendocino	Ukiah Valley Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$12 per single family home	Fire Protection	Y	57.5	42.5	Fail
<b>Merced</b>	<b>Atwater Elementary School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$16,500,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>60.9</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>Fail</b>

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Merced	Hilmar Unified School District	GO Bond	\$2,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	72.9	27.1	Pass
Merced	Merced County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 1/2 percent tax	Transportation	M	61.3	38.7	Fail
Merced/Stanislaus	Turlock Joint Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$10,950,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	68.9	31.1	Pass
Mono	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	GO Bond	\$1,334,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	49.7	50.3	Fail
Monterey	City of Greenfield	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 3 percent	General Government	G	67.3	32.7	Pass
Monterey	City of King City	General Tax	UUT: Repeal 2 percent tax	General Government	L	30.7	69.3	Fail
Monterey	City of Marina	GO Bond	\$8,000,000	Public Facilities	R	79.5	20.5	Pass
Monterey	City of Pacific Grove	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 3 percent	General Government	P	38.2	61.8	Fail
Monterey	City of Salinas	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 3 percent, repeal tax by 2005	General Government	O	33.8	66.2	Fail
Monterey	City of Seaside	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 4 percent 2003, 3 percent 2004	General Government	S	47.7	52.3	Fail
Monterey	Hartnell Community College District	GO Bond	\$131,000,000	College/University Facilities	H	65.7	34.3	Pass
Monterey	Monterey Peninsula Community College	GO Bond	\$145,000,000	College/University Facilities	I	64.6	35.4	Pass
Monterey	North Monterey County Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,750,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	68.3	31.7	Pass
Monterey	Pajaro/Sunny Mesa Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$58.26	Parks and Recreation	C	38.2	61.8	Fail
Monterey	Salinas Middle School District	GO Bond	\$37,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	67.8	32.2	Pass
Monterey	Spreckels Union School District	GO Bond	\$5,335,000	K-12 School Facilities	D	68.6	31.4	Pass
Monterey/San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$6,700,000	K-12 School Facilities	N-02	53.9	46.1	Fail
Monterey/Santa Cruz	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$58,250,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	66.5	33.5	Pass
Napa	Napa Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$95,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	59.4	40.6	Pass
Napa/Sonoma	Napa Valley Community College District	GO Bond	\$133,800,000	College/University Facilities	N	61.1	38.9	Pass
Nevada	Forty-Niner Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$113 per parcel	Fire Protection	F	63.6	36.4	Fail
Nevada	Higgins Area Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$75 per parcel	Fire Protection	H	55.2	44.8	Fail
Nevada	Nevada City	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$24 per parcel	Fire Protection	K	71.4	28.6	Pass
Nevada	Nevada County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue 1/8 percent tax for 15 years	Public Facilities	C	76.6	23.4	Pass
Nevada	Nevada County Consolidated Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$50 per parcel	Fire Protection	G	62.4	37.6	Fail
Nevada	Ophir Hill Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$65	Fire Protection	J	62.0	38.0	Fail
Nevada	Rough & Ready Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$85 per parcel	Fire Protection	I	56.3	43.7	Fail
Orange	Centralia School District	GO Bond	\$17,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	H	67.1	32.9	Pass
Orange	City of Buena Park	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$19	Public Safety	I	65.9	34.1	Fail
Orange	City of Garden Grove	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 13 percent	General Government	N	55.6	44.4	Pass
Orange	City of Irvine	General Tax	UUT: Repeal 1.5 percent tax	General Government	GG	30.5	69.5	Fail
Orange	City of Los Alamitos	General Tax	UUT: Continue 6 percent tax	General Government	Q	67.6	32.4	Pass
Orange	City of Placentia	General Tax	UUT: Increase to 5 percent	General Government	Z	37.2	62.8	Fail
Orange	City of Seal Beach	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 12 percent	General Government	EE	49.6	50.4	Fail
Orange	Coast Community College District	GO Bond	\$370,000,000	College/University Facilities	C	65.2	34.8	Pass
Orange	Rancho Santiago Community College District	GO Bond	\$337,000,000	College/University Facilities	E	64.1	35.9	Pass
Orange	Tustin Unified School District	GO Bond	\$80,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	67.1	32.9	Pass
Placer	Newcastle Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to 10 cents per square foot	Fire Protection	P	66.2	33.8	Fail
Placer	Penryn Fire Protection District Special Tax	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$200 per parcel	Fire Protection	M	50.9	49.1	Fail
Placer	Roseville City Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$29,117,071	K-12 School Facilities	H	61.3	38.7	Pass
Placer/Sacramento	Elverta Joint School District	GO Bond	\$10,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	L	59.5	40.5	Pass
Placer/Sacramento	Roseville Joint Union High School District	GO Bond	\$65,300,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	53.8	46.2	Fail
Plumas	Plumas Unified School District	GO Bond	\$15,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	67.3	32.7	Pass
Riverside	Banning Unified School District	GO Bond	\$12,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	L	67.8	32.2	Pass
Riverside	City of Cathedral City	General Tax	UUT: Impose 4 percent tax for 4 years	General Government	O	33.3	66.7	Fail
Riverside	City of La Quinta	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$85	Fire Protection	M	66.2	33.8	Fail
Riverside	City of Moreno Valley	General Tax	UUT: Repeal 6 percent tax	General Government	F	46.4	53.6	Fail
Riverside	City of Palm Springs	General Tax	UUT: Repeal 5 percent tax	General Government	U	41.2	58.8	Fail
Riverside	City of Palm Springs	Special Tax	TOT: Change tax to 10-12.5 percent variable	Tourism	V	26.7	73.3	Fail
Riverside	Menifee Union School District	GO Bond	\$14,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	59.2	40.8	Pass
Riverside	Murrieta Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$40,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	K	70.5	29.5	Pass
Riverside	Nuview Union School District	GO Bond	\$6,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	63.5	36.5	Pass
Riverside	Riverside County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Continue the 1/2 percent tax for 30 years	Transportation	A	69.2	30.8	Pass
Riverside/San Bernardino	San Bernardino Community College District	GO Bond	\$190,000,000	College/University Facilities	P	62.8	37.2	Pass
Sacramento	City of Sacramento	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 2.5 percent over 4 years	General Government	T	46.2	53.8	Fail
Sacramento	Galt Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$72	Fire Protection	V	46.1	53.9	Fail
Sacramento	Natomas Unified School District	GO Bond	\$45,880,000	K-12 School Facilities	M	72.4	27.6	Pass
Sacramento	Rio Linda Union High School District	GO Bond	\$15,400,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	65.5	34.5	Pass

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified School District	GO Bond	\$225,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	67.2	32.8	Pass
Sacramento	Sacramento County	General Tax	TOT: Continue 12 percent tax	General Government	H	61.8	38.2	Pass
Sacramento	Sacramento County	General Tax	UUT: Continue 2.5 percent tax	General Government	G	52.7	47.3	Pass
Sacramento	San Juan Unified School District	GO Bond	\$350,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	65.8	34.2	Pass
San Bernardino	Adelanto Park and Recreation District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose assessment of \$25	Parks and Recreation	V	53.0	47.0	Fail
San Bernardino	Bear Valley Unified School District	GO Bond	\$25,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Q	63.9	36.1	Pass
San Bernardino	City of Barstow	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 12.5 percent	General Government	Y	62.3	37.7	Pass
San Bernardino	City of San Bernardino	General Tax	TOT: Continue to collect tax	General Government	E	63.4	36.6	Pass
San Bernardino	City of Yucca Valley	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 9 percent	General Government	F	34.4	65.6	Fail
San Bernardino	Hesperia Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$20	Fire Protection	B	62.1	37.9	Fail
San Bernardino	Morongo Valley Community Services District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$113.82	Fire Protection	H	49.7	50.3	Fail
San Bernardino	Ontario-Montclair School District	GO Bond	\$59,655,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	67.3	32.7	Pass
San Bernardino	Redlands Unified School District	GO Bond	\$60,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	R	70.1	29.9	Pass
San Bernardino	Upland Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	49.5	50.5	Fail
San Diego	Alpine Union School District	GO Bond	\$12,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	V	45.4	54.6	Fail
San Diego	Alpine Union School District	GO Bond	\$26,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	W	44.6	55.4	Fail
San Diego	City of Del Mar	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10.5 percent	General Government	G	82.1	17.9	Pass
San Diego	City of Poway	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	N	54.3	45.7	Pass
San Diego	City of Solana Beach	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$25 per parcel	Fire Protection	P	61.9	38.1	Fail
San Diego	County Service Area 111 Boulevard Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$25	Fire Protection	AA	58.3	41.7	Fail
San Diego	County Service Area 112 Campo Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$50	Fire Protection	BB	61.7	38.3	Fail
San Diego	East County Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$49	Fire Protection	CC	71.5	28.5	Pass
San Diego	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$32,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	X	57.7	42.3	Pass
San Diego	Grossmont Cuyamaca Community College District	GO Bond	\$207,000,000	College/University Facilities	R	60.2	39.8	Pass
San Diego	<b>Grossmont Union High School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$199,000,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>63.8</b>	<b>36.2</b>	<b>Fail</b>
San Diego	Poway Unified School District	GO Bond	\$198,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	U	57.4	42.6	Pass
San Diego	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$46,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	Y	44.2	55.8	Fail
San Diego	San Diego Community College District	GO Bond	\$685,000,000	College/University Facilities	S	68.6	31.4	Pass
San Diego	San Diego County Rural Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$100	Fire Protection	FF	61.0	39.0	Fail
San Diego	San Diego County Rural Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$100	Fire Protection	EE	66.2	33.8	Fail
San Diego	Valley Center Parks and Recreation District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$14	Parks and Recreation	GG	61.3	38.7	Fail
San Francisco	San Francisco County	General Tax	Property Tax: Increase tax to \$7.50 per \$500 of value	General Government	L	55.3	44.7	Pass
San Francisco	San Francisco County	GO Bond	\$250,000,000	Housing	B	55.7	44.3	Fail
San Francisco	San Francisco County	GO Bond	\$122,755,000	Seismic Safety	C	55.0	45.0	Fail
San Francisco	San Francisco County	Revenue Bond	\$1,628,000,000	Water Supply/Quality	A	53.3	46.7	Pass
San Joaquin	Banta School District	GO Bond	\$800,000	K-12 School Facilities	X	67.9	32.1	Pass
San Joaquin	City of Lodi	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	U	39.4	60.6	Fail
San Joaquin	City of Ripon	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	V	69.5	30.5	Pass
San Joaquin	City of Stockton	General Tax	UUT: Reduce to 2 percent	General Government	Z	37.2	62.8	Fail
San Joaquin	Escalon Unified School District	GO Bond	\$7,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	T	68.0	32.0	Pass
San Joaquin	Linden Unified School District	GO Bond	\$11,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	S	55.8	44.2	Pass
San Joaquin	San Joaquin County	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$3.89 per parcel	Mosquito Abatement	R	59.4	40.6	Fail
San Joaquin/Stanislaus	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$20,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	C	69.5	30.5	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Arroyo Grande	GO Bond	\$1,900,000	Fire Protection	O-02	72.4	27.6	Pass
San Luis Obispo	City of Atascadero	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 10 percent	General Government	K-02	67.0	33.0	Pass
San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	GO Bond	\$5,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	M-02	51.2	48.8	Fail
San Mateo	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$126 for 10 years	K-12 School Programs	A	65.2	34.8	Fail
San Mateo	City of East Palo Alto	General Tax	UUT: Continue 5 percent tax until 2006	General Government	H	50.4	49.6	Pass
San Mateo	City of Pacifica	General Tax	UUT: Validate \$500 cap	General Government	D	66.2	33.8	Pass
San Mateo	Hillsborough City School District	GO Bond	\$66,800,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	66.5	33.5	Pass
Santa Barbara	Lompoc Unified School District	GO Bond	\$38,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	N	69.7	30.3	Pass
Santa Barbara	Santa Ynez Valley Union High School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$80 for 5 years	K-12 School Programs	O	50.8	49.2	Fail
Santa Clara	Cambridrian School District	GO Bond	\$20,975,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	73.8	26.2	Pass
Santa Clara	City of Morgan Hill	General Tax	TOT: Continue 10 percent tax	General Government	C	73.6	26.4	Pass
Santa Clara	City of Palo Alto	GO Bond	\$49,100,000	Public Facilities	D	61.5	38.5	Fail
Santa Clara	City of San Jose	Special Tax	TOT: Increase tax to 14 percent	Public Facilities	F	65.0	35.0	Fail
Santa Clara	East Side Union High School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax by \$49.75 per year	K-12 School Programs	J	58.9	41.1	Fail
Santa Clara	Gilroy Unified School District	GO Bond	\$69,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	62.7	37.3	Pass

County	Agency	Type of Tax/Debt	Amount of Tax/Debt	Purpose	Measure	% Yes	% No	Result
Santa Clara	Los Altos School District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase tax by \$333 per year	K-12 School Programs	H	70.7	29.3	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Capitola	Special Tax	TOT: Increase tax to 11 percent	Tourism	W	51.8	48.2	Fail
Santa Cruz	City of Santa Cruz	General Tax	UUT: Repeal 7 percent tax	General Government	P	25.0	75.0	Fail
Santa Cruz	City of Santa Cruz	Special Tax	TOT: Increase tax to 11 percent	Tourism	Q	56.0	44.0	Fail
Santa Cruz	City of Scotts Valley	General Tax	UUT: Continue 4 percent tax	General Government	R	74.4	25.6	Pass
Santa Cruz	City of Watsonville	Special Tax	TOT: Increase tax to 11 percent	Tourism	T	66.0	34.0	Fail
Santa Cruz	Pajaro Valley Fire Protection District	GO Bond	\$1,000,000	Fire Protection	Y	63.0	37.0	Fail
Santa Cruz	Unincorporated Area of Santa Cruz County	Special Tax	TOT: Increase tax to 11 percent	Tourism	O	61.0	39.0	Fail
Siskiyou	Etna Union High School District	GO Bond	\$1,560,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	50.2	49.8	Fail
Solano	City of Fairfield	General Tax	UUT: Continue 2 percent tax	General Government	H	57.9	42.1	Pass
Solano	Cordelia Fire District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$260	Fire Protection	I	67.1	32.9	Pass
Solano	Dixon Unified School District	GO Bond	\$29,200,000	K-12 School Facilities	J	67.6	32.4	Pass
Solano	Solano County	Special Tax	Sales Tax: Increase by 1/2 percent for 20 years	Transportation	E	60.0	40.0	Fail
Solano/Yolo	Solano Community College District	GO Bond	\$124,500,000	College/University Facilities	G	55.5	44.5	Pass
Sonoma	Bennett Valley Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$45	Fire Protection	Y	75.3	24.7	Pass
Sonoma	City of Healdsburg	General Tax	TOT: Continue 10 percent tax	General Government	P	90.0	10.0	Pass
Sonoma	City of Rohnert Park	General Tax	UUT: Impose 4 percent tax	General Government	S	21.1	78.9	Fail
Sonoma	City of Rohnert Park	General Tax	Business License Tax: Continue at existing rate	General Government	U	66.1	33.9	Pass
Sonoma	City of Rohnert Park	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 12 percent	General Government	T	59.2	40.8	Pass
Sonoma	City of Sebastopol	General Tax	Sales Tax: Increase by 1/8 percent	General Government	V	66.7	33.3	Pass
Sonoma	Healdsburg Unified School District	GO Bond	\$3,990,000	K-12 School Facilities	G	65.1	34.9	Pass
Sonoma	Healdsburg Unified School District	GO Bond	\$18,820,000	K-12 School Facilities	H	67.5	32.5	Pass
Sonoma	Mark West Union School District	GO Bond	\$11,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	I	68.0	32.0	Pass
Sonoma	Rancho Adobe Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$30	Fire Protection	Z	39.2	60.8	Fail
<b>Sonoma</b>	<b>Rincon Valley Union School District</b>	<b>GO Bond</b>	<b>\$30,000,000</b>	<b>K-12 School Facilities</b>	<b>J</b>	<b>64.6</b>	<b>35.4</b>	<b>Fail</b>
Stanislaus	City of Ceres	General Tax	TOT: Increase to 8 percent	General Government	A	44.9	55.1	Fail
Stanislaus	Paradise Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$400,000	K-12 School Facilities	E	63.9	36.1	Pass
Sutter	South Sutter Recreation and Park District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$35	Parks and Recreation	B	61.9	38.1	Fail
Tulare	Burton Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$2,455,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	63.2	36.8	Pass
Tulare	City of Tulare	General Tax	UUT: Increase tax cap by \$150 a year	General Government	C	60.1	39.9	Pass
Tulare	Porterville Unified School District	GO Bond	\$23,000,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	68.6	31.4	Pass
Tuolumne	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	GO Bond	\$5,750,000	K-12 School Facilities	A	49.2	50.8	Fail
Tuolumne	Soulsbyville School District	GO Bond	\$1,500,000	K-12 School Facilities	B	68.6	31.4	Pass
Ventura	City of Fillmore	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Impose tax of \$15	Parks and Recreation	G	61.7	38.3	Fail
Ventura	City of Santa Paula	General Tax	TOT : Increase to 10 percent	General Government	E	55.2	44.8	Pass
Ventura	Mesa Union Elementary School District	GO Bond	\$3,900,000	K-12 School Facilities	H	65.3	34.7	Pass
Yolo	City of West Sacramento	General Tax	Sales Tax: Impose 1/2 percent tax	General Government	K	64.5	35.5	Pass
Yuba	Dobbins/Oregon House Fire Protection District	Special Tax	Parcel Tax: Increase to \$12.50 per unit of benefit	Fire Protection	W	73.9	26.1	Pass
Yuba	Yuba County	General Tax	TOT: Impose 10 percent tax	General Government	X	52.2	47.8	Pass

Bold figures indicate school bonds requiring 2/3 vote. All other school bonds require 55 percent.

This report was prepared by Frank Moore, research program specialist. The California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission wishes to thank the staff of the Secretary of State's Office and the 58 county clerks' elections departments for their assistance in providing the information contained in this publication.